

Date: Fri, 19 May 2000 13:12:42 -0700
From: David D Perkins <perklab@leland.Stanford.EDU>
Subject: Re: FGSC 7323, 7324, 7325
X-Sender: perklab@perklab.pobox.stanford.edu
To: fgsc@KUHUB.CC.UKANS.EDU
Cc: bobmetz@leland.Stanford.EDU
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Pro Version 3.0.2 (32)
Original-recipient: rfc822;fgsc@kuhub.cc.ukans.edu

Dear Kevin,

Thanks for sending Craig's address.

We've discovered that the three stocks listed above are missing from the FGSC catalog. They were submitted by Metzenberg in 1992, as "N. tetrasperma-like", and this ambiguity probably explains why they have been omitted. Bob tells me now that the three (heterokaryon and components homokaryons) should indeed be considered tetrasperma and that they should be placed in the stock list under wild-collected tetrasperma from Borneo. At least one of them, 7325, is important because it has a mating type chromosome that is atypical of most other tetraspermas (Reference: Randall & Metzenberg 1995 Can. J. Bot. 73 (Suppl. 1.): S251-S257).

I hope your relocation is going smoothly.

Best wishes,

David